Monitoring body temperature during moderate intensity exercise and inactive recovery in the cold: a pilot study
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ABSTRACT

Exposure to cold ambient conditions during outdoor recreation can lead to significant heat loss. It is unknown how fast body temperature decreases or how fast a person could become hypothermic in cold temperatures. We present a series of pilot tests involving moderate intensity exercise and inactive recovery in the cold to monitor how body temperature changes with exposure to -10°C. The primary aim of this pilot study was to test the feasibility of the proposed protocol with the intention to design a main study. The primary questions were: (i) to what degree does body temperature increase or decrease with this protocol, (ii) whether epitympanic temperature is a suitable measure of core temperature using a recently developed, non-invasive device and (iii) if participants are able to tolerate the cold during inactive recovery. This pilot series included seven participants. After an acclimatization phase (15 minutes), participants exercised at 60% peak heart rate (20 minutes) followed by a seated, inactive recovery phase (15 minutes) in the cold. The mean ambient conditions were -10.0±0.4°C and 66.1±8.6% relative humidity and no wind. The primary findings based on the feasibility criteria were that body temperature increased while exercising at an intensity of 60% HRpeak and decreased during inactive recovery by -0.3±0.1°C (epitympanic temperature). Secondly, the agreement between epitympanic and esophageal temperature (mean difference 0.2°C, 95% confidence interval -0.5 to 0.0, p=0.095) was better than in previous studies. Finally, all participants were able to tolerate the cold and complete the study despite thermal discomfort and shivering in the recovery phase. This protocol was successful in showing small changes in body temperature during exercise and recovery in the cold, though some modifications to the current protocol are recommended to elicit a larger effect size.
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Introduction

Exposure to cold ambient conditions poses a challenge for human thermoregulation. To maintain body temperature, heat production must be sufficient to offset heat loss to the environment. During exercise or recreation in cold environments, heat loss will depend on exercise intensity, insulation provided by clothing, ambient conditions and body composition (Noakes, 2000). Inability to maintain body temperature can result in accidental hypothermia, i.e. an involuntary drop in core body temperature ($T_{\text{core}}$) below 35°C. This is a potentially life-threatening condition with high morbidity and mortality (Brown, Brugger, Boyd, & Paal, 2012; Haverkamp, Giesbrecht, & Tan, 2018). The incidence of accidental hypothermia in outdoor recreationists is unknown but seems to be more common in outdoor activities performed at high altitude or in remote areas (Procter, Brugger, & Burtscher, 2018).

The American College of Sports Medicine and National Athletic Trainers’ Association have called for increased awareness on the prevention, recognition and management of hypothermia and other cold injuries in sport (Cappaert, Stone, Castellani, Krause, Smith, & Stephens, 2008; Castellani et al., 2006). Any situation leading to decreased heat production (e.g. fatigue, inactivity, injury), increased heat loss (e.g. cold ambient conditions, insufficient insulation from clothing) or impaired thermoregulation (e.g. severe fatigue) could result in rapid cooling. Studies have shown that the combination of cold, wet and windy conditions in a fatigued state likely poses the greatest risk (Ainslie & Reilly, 2003; Weller, Millard, Stroud, Greenhaff, & Macdonald, 1997a; Young & Castellani, 2001, 2007) and fatalities during outdoor sporting events have been reported (“Tote bei Zugspitz-Extremlauf,” 2008).

Existing studies on thermoregulation in the cold focus primarily on alterations in the thermoregulatory response due to exertional fatigue after very long periods of exercise or simulated military training regimes, ranging from several hours (Ainslie, Campbell, Frayn, Humphreys, Maclaren, & Reilly, 2002, 2003; Weller et al., 1997a, 1997b) to several days or weeks (Castellani et al., 2001, 2003). These conditions may not be reflective of general outdoor recreation, in which persons exercise for shorter periods and/or at lower intensity. It is unknown if heat loss in such cases could result in a decrease in $T_{\text{core}}$ and if so, the rate of cooling. However, $T_{\text{core}}$ refers to temperature measured invasively (e.g. pulmonary artery, distal third of the oesophagus) and obtaining a valid estimate of $T_{\text{core}}$ in the field requires suitable devices (Strapazzon, Procter, Paal, & Brugger, 2014). Due to a lack of such alternatives, practitioners must rely on devices that are not designed for use in prehospital conditions or reliable for measurement in hypothermic patients, such as infrared ear thermometry (Strapazzon et al., 2014). One of the few methods currently recommended for diagnosing the severity of hypothermia when invasive measures are not indicated or possible is thermistor-based epitympanic temperature ($T_{\text{tp}}$), i.e. temperature measured with a thermistor probe deep in the ear canal (Brown et al., 2012; Brugger et al., 2013).

We present a series of pilot tests involving moderate intensity exercise and inactive recovery in the cold to monitor how body temperature changes under these conditions. The primary aim of this pilot study was to test the feasibility of the proposed protocol with the intention to design a main study. The primary questions were: (i) to what degree does body temperature increase or decrease with this protocol, (ii) whether $T_{\text{tp}}$ is a suitable measure of $T_{\text{core}}$ using a recently developed, non-invasive device and (iii) if participants are able to tolerate the cold during inactive recovery. Specific feasibility criteria were used to determine the success of this protocol.

Methods

Participants

Participants were recruited from the Department of Sport Science at the University of Innsbruck, Austria. The inclusion criteria were defined as persons between 18 and 50 years, male and female, capable of exercise according to the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire. Exclusion criteria included fever and chronic or acute diseases of the circulatory and/or respiratory system, airways, ears and nasopharynx. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to participation in the study. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Department of Sport Science at the University of Innsbruck, Austria.

Measures

$T_{\text{core}}$ was measured in the lower third of the esophagus using the insertion procedure described by Mekjavić & Rempel (1990). $T_{\text{tp}}$ was measured in the external auditory meatus using a prototype of a thermistor probe developed by Cosinuss® (Munich, Germany; Figure 1). This device contains a thermistor sensor at the tip of the ear piece, which is placed in the ear canal close to but not touching the tympanic membrane. A second thermistor sensor is located in an external structure on the ear with the purpose to compare the temperature externally at the ear ($T_{\text{tp}}$) to that deeper in the ear canal. An ear cover was used to insulate the ear and the device (Figure 1). Temperature was recorded continuously by all devices.

Heart rate (HR) was measured continuously using a sport belt and watch (Polar® RS800CX) and oxygen saturation using pulse oximetry on the finger (Nonin® Onyx Vantage 9590). Rating of perceived exertion was assessed using the 15-point Borg scale (Borg, 1982) and obtained at 2-minute intervals during the exercise phase. Thermal comfort was assessed using a 7-point scale (-3 cold, -2 cool, -1 slightly cool, 0 neutral, 1 slightly warm, 2 warm, 3 hot) and obtained at 2-minute intervals throughout the test. Shivering was based on visual observation by the tester or personal sensation by the participants.
Procedure

Participants completed an incremental treadmill ergometer test to determine peak heart rate ($HR_{\text{peak}}$) using the following protocol from Burtscher, Förster, & Burtscher (2008): (i) 2 min at 5 km/h and 5% incline, (ii) 2 min at 5 km/h and 10% incline, (iii) 1 min at 6 km/h and 10% incline, (iv) every minute a 2% increase in inclination up to 20%, and (v) every minute a 1 km/h increase in speed until exhaustion. This test was done at least 72 h prior to further testing.

For the main tests, participants were asked to fast for at least six hours before testing. The oesophageal probe was inserted by a medical doctor before application of the epitympanic probe, ear cover and heart rate belt. The testing protocol had three phases. In the acclimatization phase, participants were seated and inactive for 15 minutes to allow for stabilization of temperature recordings. The exercise phase consisted of walking on a treadmill for 20 minutes at 60% $HR_{\text{peak}}$. To reach this intensity, an inclination of 10% and speed of 4.2 km/h was set initially and adapted as necessary. In the recovery phase, participants were again seated and inactive for 15 minutes. Tests were done in a climate chamber with controlled temperature settings. The acclimatization phase was completed in a waiting room outside of the cold chamber to avoid pre-exercise cooling that can lead to a drop in $T_{\text{core}}$ upon exercising, a so-called afterdrop (Giesbrecht & Bristow, 1992, 1998; Grissom et al., 2010). To standardize the effect of thermal insulation of clothing on body temperature participants wore thermal clothing provided by the tester including a long-sleeved shirt and long underwear (estimated insulation value clo between 1.03 and 1.19) (ISO, 2005). They wore short socks and running shoes and did not wear gloves or a hat. The test was discontinued if $T_{\text{core}}$ dropped below 35°C (to avoid any potential risk associated with lower $T_{\text{core}}$) or if participants expressed discomfort severe enough to want to stop.

Criteria to determine feasibility of this study

The primary questions addressed in this pilot study were: (i) to what degree does body temperature increase or decrease with this protocol, (ii) whether $T_{\text{ty}}$ is a suitable measure of $T_{\text{core}}$ using a recently developed, non-invasive device and (iii) if participants are able to tolerate the cold during inactive recovery. The following criteria were used to determine the feasibility of this protocol based on these questions: (i) body temperature increased or remained constant during the exercise phase and decreased during the recovery phase in all participants, (ii) the mean difference between $T_{\text{ty}}$ and $T_{\text{core}}$ during the exercise and recovery phases was <1.3°C (Strapazzon et al., 2015) based on a Bland-Altman plot and (iii) participants were able to tolerate the cold and complete the protocol.

Sample size

The sample size was determined using the results of a previous study of exercise at low intensity (30% VO$_{2\text{max}}$) in 0°C in which the mean change in body temperature was -0.13±0.08°C in 15 minutes (Burtscher et al., 2012). The calculated sample size was six using an effect size of 1.6, alpha of 0.05 and power 0.8. Seven participants were recruited.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics 21. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare different temperatures in the same person (e.g. $T_{\text{core}}$ vs. $T_{\text{ty}}$) or temperature at two time points. A Bland-Altman plot and concordance correlation coefficient (CCC) were used to determine the agreement between $T_{\text{core}}$ and $T_{\text{ty}}$. Continuous measurements were analysed at 1-minute intervals. Results are shown as mean and standard deviation unless stated otherwise. The level of significance was defined as $p$≤0.05.
Results

Characteristics of the study participants are shown in Table 1. The following results refer to all participants (n=7) unless stated otherwise. The mean age was 23.6±3.8 years. The mean ambient conditions were -10.0±0.4°C and 66.1±8.6% relative humidity and no wind. In the waiting room outside of the cold chamber the mean ambient temperature was 19.2±2.1°C and 53.5±10.1% relative humidity. The target intensity in the exercise phase of 60% HR_{peak} was achieved (mean HR 59±2%, n=7). The oesophageal probe was displaced in participant 5 and 6 during transition to the treadmill for the exercise phase and T_{core} could not be used in the analysis. The ear device stopped transmitting data in three cases (participants 3, 4 and 7) and had to be restarted manually, which resulted in 45 missing data points for T_{ty} (12.6% of all data points for n=7) and 75 data points for T_{aur} (21.0% of all data points for n=7). While restarting the device for participant 3, the sensors were exposed to ambient air for four minutes and produced falsely low measurements for the remainder of the test; thus, T_{ty} and T_{aur} were not included for this participant in the analysis.

Epitympanic temperature reflects core temperature in the cold

The profiles of T_{ty} and T_{core} during cold exposure are shown in Figure 2. Mean T_{ty} was 35.7±0.6°C at the first measurement and increased to 36.9±0.3°C at the end of the acclimatization phase (p=0.018, n=7). In the exercise phase, T_{ty} did not increase until five minutes into the phase and increased on average by 0.2±0.4°C (p=0.279, n=6). Similarly, T_{ty} did not decrease in the recovery phase until five minutes into the phase and decreased on average by -0.3±0.1°C (p=0.026, n=6).

In comparison, T_{core} increased in the exercise phase by 0.4±0.3°C (p<0.001, n=5) and decreased in the recovery phase by -0.2±0.2°C (p<0.001, n=5). A Bland-Altmann plot shows that mean T_{ty} was on average 0.2°C higher than mean T_{core} during the exercise and recovery phases (95% confidence interval of the mean difference -0.5 to 0.0, p=0.095; mean T_{core} 37.0±0.3°C, mean T_{ty} 37.2±0.2; n=4; Figure 3); the correlation was weak (CCC=0.26, 95% confidence interval -0.28 to 0.68).

Temperature external to the ear canal in the cold

Cold exposure induced an immediate and significant decrease in T_{aur}. The mean change in T_{aur} was -1.7±0.8°C in the exercise phase and -0.7±0.3°C in the recovery phase (p=0.04 between the two time points for both phases, n=5). On average T_{aur} was 4.2±0.6°C lower than T_{ty} in the exercise and recovery phases (p=0.043, n=5).

Thermal comfort and shivering in the cold

Subjective ratings of thermal comfort varied widely between participants, though all participants reported decreasing thermal comfort with time. The median change during the exercise and recovery phase was -5 points (range -3 to -7) on the 7-point scale. In the exercise phase, participants rated thermal comfort between 0 and -3 (i.e. between neutral and cold) 62.8% of the time. In the recovery phase, participants rated thermal comfort between -2 and -3 (i.e. cool and cold) 71.4% of the time. Shivering was observed in the recovery phase in six participants at a mean T_{core} of 36.9±0.4°C. The mean time to shivering once seated and inactive was 11±5 min. One participant started shivering after four minutes and stopped shivering eight minutes later. The participant who showed no signs of shivering had the highest BMI.

Table 1: Characteristics of the study participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Body mass (kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>HR_{peak} (bpm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2: Epitympanic temperature (solid line) and core body temperature (dashed line) during acclimatization, exercise and recovery in the cold.

Error bars represent standard deviation.
* Epitympanic temperature between 0 and 14 minutes, p=0.018.
** Epitympanic temperature between 40 and 50 minutes, p=0.026.

Figure 3: Bland-Altman plot of mean core temperature ($T_{core}$) and epitympanic temperature ($T_{ty}$) in the cold during the exercise (x) and recovery (o) phase (n=4). The middle line indicates the mean of differences (-0.2°C) and the upper and lower lines the limits of agreement (i.e., mean ± 1.96 standard deviation, 0.4°C and -0.8°C).
Discussion

This pilot study describes changes in body temperature during moderate intensity exercise and inactive recovery in cold ambient conditions (-10°C). The primary findings based on the feasibility criteria were that body temperature increased while exercising at an intensity of 60% HRmax and decreased during inactive recovery. All participants remained normothermic (Tcore >35°C), even in the recovery phase. Secondly, the agreement between Taur and Tcore was better than in previous studies. Finally, all participants were able to tolerate the cold and complete the study despite thermal discomfort and shivering in the recovery phase. We conclude that this protocol can be used in a main study in future with some minor modifications. These findings are discussed below along with suggested modifications for a main study.

Previous studies on thermoregulation during cold exposure have shown that exercising at ca. 50–70% maximum oxygen uptake (VO2max) is sufficient to counteract heat loss and maintain body temperature in cold and windy conditions (Pugh, 1966; Weller et al., 1997a, 1997b; Burtscher et al., 2012). One study reported that during a 12-km, self-paced walk in the mountains (mean air temperature 6.4°C, mean wind speed 2.8 m/s) participants reached this intensity only in the most difficult terrain, during which body temperature clearly increased (Ainslie et al., 2002). Over the course of the walk, the self-paced intensity varied between ca. 30% and 55% VO2max. We found that 20 minutes of exercise at 60% HRpeak in -10.0±0.4°C (66.1±8.6% relative humidity and no wind) was associated with an increase in Tcore. Thus, it seems that exercise at this intensity and under these conditions is associated with a positive heat balance in the absence of additional environmental stressors (wind, wet).

In comparison, a previous study showed that introducing 10 km/h wind during 0°C cold exposure at low intensity exercise (30% VO2max) resulted in decreasing body temperature unless additional clothing was worn, including a cap, windbreaker jacket and trousers (Burtscher et al., 2012). The decrease in body temperature in the recovery phase was less than expected under these conditions. This may be due to a combination of the following factors: inadequate insulation from clothing, minimal exposure of skin to ambient air, lack of wind or precipitation, or shivering thermogenesis. Despite being normothermic, participants reported being cold and uncomfortable, which was supported by the presence of shivering in six of seven participants. It is challenging to compare the present findings with previous studies because of differences in environmental conditions and method of measuring body temperature. Ainslie et al. (2002) reported a drop in rectal temperature of ca. 1°C during a 30-minute rest period on a 12-km self-paced hike, and three of twelve participants started shivering after 15 minutes. Other studies have reported decreasing body temperature at low intensity exercise (30% VO2max) and ambient temperatures between 0°C and 5°C (Burtscher et al., 2012; Weller et al., 1997b, 1997a), though the absolute changes are not comparable because body temperature was reported as rectal or infrared-based ear temperature. The use of alternative temperatures without a valid measure of Tcore as a reference temperature is the main limitation of previous studies. The main strength of the current protocol is the use of Taur. Future studies reporting alternative temperatures should also include a measure of Tcore.

Previous studies reporting thermistor-based Taur have shown that Taur reflects Tcore if the ear is insulated from cold ambient air (Strapazzon et al., 2015) and the ear canal is not cooled by water or snow (Skaiaa, Brattebø, Åßmus, & Thomassen, 2015). Temperature should be measured as close to the tympanic membrane as possible because of the decreasing temperature gradient in the ear canal (Procter, Strapazzon, Rilk, Überbacher, & Brugger, 2014). In a validation study of Taur, the average difference between Taur and Tcore was 1.3°C during 10 minutes of cold exposure (-18.7±1.0°C) without activity when the ear was insulated (Strapazzon et al., 2015). We found an average difference of 0.2°C between Taur and Tcore during the exercise and recovery phase. Apart from the difference in ambient temperature (-18.7 vs -10.0°C), the better agreement may in part be explained by the positioning of the sensor, as this was a custom-made device designed for deeper insertion into the ear canal. Secondly, this device is the first to include a second sensor for Taur and we found that the difference between Taur and Tcore was more than 4°C despite that both sensors were insulated by the ear cover. This emphasizes the importance of correct positioning of the sensor to obtain accurate measurements. Additionally, it is known from previous studies that baseline readings take at least three minutes to stabilize (Skaiaa, Brattebø, Åßmus, & Thomassen, 2015), though our data show that this may be underestimated. The time to stabilization likely depends on the temperature of the ambient air, ear canal and sensor before insertion, and insulation of the head and ears.

In one test, the ear cover was removed to restart the device and the external sensor was exposed to ambient air for four minutes. Within this time Taur dropped by 1°C and Tcore by 9°C and values remained low after replacing the ear cover for over 20 minutes (these data were removed from the analysis).

In conclusion, this protocol was successful in showing small changes in body temperature during exercise and recovery in the cold, though some modifications to the current protocol are recommended to elicit a larger effect size. For example, a longer recovery phase or adding wind could result in more pronounced cooling and provide useful information on the duration until onset of rapid cooling. However, the thermal comfort of participants may limit how long this phase is tolerable. One limitation of these pilot tests was the small sample size and technical issues resulting in loss of data.
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